

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,383	03/01/2004	Wolfgang Becker	3926.070	1064	
30448 AKERMAN S	7590 05/22/2007 FNTFRFITT		EXAMINER		
AKERMAN SENTERFITT P.O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,383	BECKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M. Alexandra Elve	1725		

Defense the Films of an Assess Diff.		1					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entored b					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.	at all and the all according to the control of the		•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	,,	specification in	J. Janan				
Claim(s) objected to:		, M	ares				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6,7 and 10-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			oly.				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). See Continuation Sheet.		-	,				
See Section See Section and Section Se		1/1/1/20	- >				
		M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner	1725				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendments are only to the specification and thus the disclosure is no longer objected to. The claims remained rejected (6-7 & 10-12).